

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,475	04/01/2004	Barry L. Gregerson	AccuTech - Carrier Tape	6366
26365 ANTHONY J.	7590 12/02/2000 BOURGET	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 81		EWALD, MARIA VERONICA		
EAU CLAIRE	, WI 54702-0081		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/815,475	GREGERSON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MARIA VERONICA D. EWALD	1791	

	MARIA VERONICA D. EWALD	1791	I				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 30 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 \[\] The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must they file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the polition under 37 CFR 1,136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension is under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any semed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extern Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I They raise new issues that would require further control to the proposed in the proposed	nsideration and/or search (see NO) w);	TE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.					
			DTOL OOA				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 		•					
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 		I be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	the face and the date of files a blo						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Yogendra N Gupta/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1791							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly-introduced limitation of claim 30 which now positively identifies the pair of opposing contact surfaces changes the scope of the claim and thus, requires further search and/or consideration. The claim was previously presented such that the heat shield was "adapted to selectively interpose a heat shield between each contact surface and the blank," wherein the phrase "adapted of "merely suggests what the heat shield must be capable of doing. In contrast, the current phrase "the appractis including the pair of contact surfaces" positively recites that the contact surfaces are now essential elements and must be considered with the heat shield as components of the apparatus being claimed, which was not the case previously.